

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE V United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/508,570	05/23/2000	Francois Arminjon	MBHIB00-210	9141
7590 05/25/2006 McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff 300 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606			EXAMINER	
			CHEN, STACY BROWN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-			1648	
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/508,570	ARMINJON ET AL.	_
Examiner	Art Unit	
Stacy B. Chen	1648	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on <u>17 March 2006</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following

item(s) is required.	
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT OF T	NT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:
 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other 	
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(c B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing corresponding amended figures, without markings, in color C. Other 	l). ection has been eliminated. Replacement drawings
 ✓ 4. Amendments to the claims: ✓ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not presen ✓ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of al ✓ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the stat number by using one of the following status identi (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Wit ✓ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been contact the claims. 	I pending claims (including withdrawn claims) status identifier, and as such, the individual status us of every claim must be indicated after its claim fiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), thdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in	accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFI	R 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant ame filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-co- entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 	
2. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is locorrection, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following (including a submission for a request for continued examination amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.10 Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the conon-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	ng: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental (3(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle a	
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant ame filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment.	
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Telephone No. Part of Paper No. 20060524
	·

Continuation of Item 4C. Applicant's amendment filed March 17, 2006 indicates that claim 45 is a new claim, however, its claim status identifier indicates that claim 45 was previously presented. Upon review of the amendment filed July 6, 2005, the claim listing indicated that there was a claim 45 (referenced in claim 44), however, there was no claim 45 in the claim listing. The examiner examined the claims present in the claim listing filed July 6, 2005. Clarification is requested regarding the status of claim 45. It appears that Applicant intended to include new claim 45 in the amendment of July 6, 2005, however, it may have been inadvertently omitted from Applicant's facsimile transmission. Regardless, it appears that claim 45 is new, and should be indicated as such ("New").

Stacy B. Chen Primary Examiner

Stay B Cher 5/24/2006

TC1600